



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,903	01/28/2002		Timothy Robert Hurley	A0000513-01-DRK	7220
28880	7590 06/03/2004			EXAMINER	
WARNER- 2800 PLYM	LAMBERT CO	KHARE, I	KHARE, DEVESH		
ANN ARBOR, MI 48105				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1623	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/058,903	HURLEY ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Devesh Khare	1623
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	1
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive n (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 03/05/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

The remarks and arguments filed on 12/19/2003 are acknowledged. Claims 1- 7 are currently pending in this application.

35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pande (U.S. Patent 6,359,005) in view of Wirth et al. (J. Pharm. Sci.,87(1), 31-39, 1998) of record.

The claims 1-4 are directed to pregabalin lactose conjugates, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt, ester, amide, and prodrug thereof.

Additional claim limitations claimed include a pharmaceutical formulation comprising at least one compound of pregabalin lactose conjugate and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, excipient, or diluent thereof; and applicant has elected the hexose-hexose group

Pande teaches the pregabalin, its derivatives, and pharmaceutically acceptable salts for use in the treatment of mania and bipolar disorder (see abstract). In column 3, lines 14-17, the pharmaceutical compositions of pregabalin or its salts with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier are disclosed. Pande also discloses a

in the pregabalin conjugate in claims 2 and 3.

Art Unit: 1623

pharmaceutical composition of pregabalin comprising a pharmaceutical carrier such as lactose (col. 3, lines 22-24). In column 2, a line 47-54, the use of pregabalin in the treatment of patients suffering from anxiety is disclosed. In column 3, lines 63-67, the use of pregabalin in the treatment of patients suffering bipolar disorder especially the epilepsy is disclosed. Pande also disclose suitable pharmaceutical carriers; including the pharmaceutical diluent lactose (see col. 3, 22-24). While the Pande's use of pregabalin and derivatives in the treatment of anxiety or epilepsy use closely analogous compounds to the applicant's compounds, Pande's pregabalin compounds and compositions differ from applicant's pregabalin lactose conjugates and compositions in that the pregabalin compounds are not conjugated with lactose.

Wirth et al. teach drugs which are secondary amines undergo the Maillard reaction with lactose and lactose is used as the most common excipient in the formulations of fluoxetine HCl (see abstract). Applicants in example 5 disclose that the pregabalin undergoes a Maillard reaction to form conjugates with lactose in formulated product. Wirth et al, discloses that the Maillard reaction of secondary amines and lactose should be considered when selecting formulation ingredients and when examining the stability of such products" (page 38, last para.). Wirth et al. disclose that the reducing carbohydrate such as lactose is substrate for the Maillard reaction (see page 31, bottom of first col. and scheme 2 on page 33). Wirth et al. disclose that the lactose is widely used as diluent for capsules and tablets due to its low price, high purity, and excellent compression and stability characteristics and its ability to undergo Maillard reactions to produce formulation (see page 31, sec. col., sec. para). It is noted

Art Unit: 1623

that Wirth et al. does not provide specific disclosures regarding the pregabalin lactose conjugate.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found the applicants claimed pregabalin lactose conjugates and their pharmaceutical formulation to have been obvious at the time the invention was made having the above cited references before him. Since Pande teaches the pregabalin, its derivatives, and pharmaceutically acceptable salts for use in the treatment of anxiety or epilepsy (nervous system disorder), and Wirth et al., teach the excellent compression and stability characteristics of lactose and its ability to undergo Maillard reactions to produce formulation, one skilled in the art would have a reasonable expectation for success in combining the teachings of both references to obtain a pregabalin lactose conjugate and its pharmaceutical composition. The motivation for doing so is provided by Pande, which suggests the use of pregabalin in the treatment of anxiety or epilepsy because of the nontoxic nature of the compound, ease of preparation and the ease of administration of the drug (see col. 3, lines 55-60).

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pande (U.S. Patent 6,359,005) in view of Wirth et al. (J. Pharm. Sci.,87(1), 31-39, 1998) of record.

The **claims 5-7** are directed to a method for treating a subject having a central nervous system disorder or disease by administering to the subject a pharmaceutically

Art Unit: 1623

A 4 11-14 4000

effective amount of a compound of claim 1 (pregabalin lactose conjugates, their pharmaceutical formulation). Additional claim limitations include the central nervous system disorder or disease selected from depression, seizure, anxiety, pain, sleep disorder, consumptive disorder, psychosis, dyskinesia, Huntington's disease, or Parkinson's disease; and applicant has elected the hexose-hexose group in the pregabalin conjugate in claim 5.

Pande teaches the pregabalin, its derivatives, and pharmaceutically acceptable salts for use in the treatment of mania and bipolar disorder (see abstract). In column 3, lines 14-17, the pharmaceutical compositions of pregabalin or its salts with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier are disclosed. Pande also discloses a pharmaceutical composition of pregabalin comprising a pharmaceutical carrier such as lactose (col. 3, lines 22-24). In column 2, a line 47-54, the use of pregabalin in the treatment of patients suffering from anxiety is disclosed. In column 3, lines 63-67, the use of pregabalin in the treatment of patients suffering bipolar disorder especially the epilepsy is disclosed. Pande also disclose suitable pharmaceutical carriers; including the pharmaceutical diluent lactose (see col. 3, 22-24). While the Pande's use of pregabalin and derivatives in the treatment of anxiety or epilepsy use closely analogous compounds to the applicant's compounds, Pande's pregabalin compounds and compositions differ from applicant's pregabalin lactose conjugates and compositions in that the pregabalin compounds are not conjugated with lactose.

Wirth et al. teach drugs which are secondary amines undergo the Maillard reaction with lactose and lactose is used as the most common excipient in the

Art Unit: 1623

formulations of fluoxetine HCI (see abstract). Applicants in example 5 disclose that the pregabalin undergoes a Maillard reaction to form conjugates with lactose in formulated product. Wirth et al, discloses that the Maillard reaction of secondary amines and lactose should be considered when selecting formulation ingredients and when examining the stability of such products" (page 38, last para.). Wirth et al. disclose that the reducing carbohydrate such as lactose is substrate for the Maillard reaction (see page 31, bottom of first col. and scheme 2 on page 33). Wirth et al. disclose that the lactose is widely used as diluent for capsules and tablets due to its low price, high purity, and excellent compression and stability characteristics and its ability to undergo Maillard reactions to produce formulation (see page 31, sec. col., sec. para). It is noted that Wirth et al. does not provide specific disclosures regarding the pregabalin lactose conjugate.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found the applicants claimed method for treating a subject having a central nervous system disorder or disease by administering to the subject a pharmaceutically effective amount of pregabalin lactose conjugates, to have been obvious at the time the invention was made having the above cited references before him. Since Pande teaches the pregabalin, its derivatives, and pharmaceutically acceptable salts for use in the treatment of anxiety or epilepsy (nervous system disorder), and Wirth et al., teach the excellent compression and stability characteristics of lactose and its ability to undergo Maillard reactions to produce formulation, one skilled in the art would have a reasonable expectation for success in

Art Unit: 1623

combining the teachings of both references to accomplish the pregabalin lactose conjugate, its pharmaceutical composition and their use in the treatment of anxiety or epilepsy. The motivation for doing so is provided by Pande, which suggests the use of pregabalin in the treatment of anxiety or epilepsy because of the nontoxic nature of the compound, ease of preparation and the ease of administration of the drug (see col. 3, lines 55-60).

Rejection Maintained

Rejection of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is maintained for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/19/03 traversing the rejection of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that "the claimed compounds are not taught or suggested by the reference"; "there is no basis in either reference itself for combining them"; and neither reference provides motivation to combine the references". It is noted that applicant has elected the hexose-hexose group in the pregabalin conjugate compounds. Pande teaches a pharmaceutical composition comprising pregabalin and lactose (col. 3, lines 22-24). Wirth et al. teach drugs which are secondary amines undergo the Maillard reaction with lactose (abstract) and the Maillard reaction of secondary amines and lactose should be considered when selecting formulation ingredients and when examining the stability of such products" (page 38, last para.). Indeed, the examiner has established a prima facie case of obviousness rendering claims 1-7 rejected under

Art Unit: 1623

35 U.S.C. 103(a) by addressing sufficiently all of the limitations set forth in the instant claims, one skilled in the art would have a reasonable expectation for success in combining the above said references to accomplish a pregabalin lactose conjugate and its pharmaceutical composition in the treatment of anxiety or epilepsy because of the nontoxic nature of the compound, ease of preparation and the ease of administration of the drug (see col. 3, lines 55-60).

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is (703)605-

1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at 703-308-4624. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D.,J.D. Art Unit 1623 May 20,2004

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600